Anisotropic flow in striped superhydrophobic channels
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I. INTRODUCTION

Textured surfaces play a major role in microfluidics, since the high surface to volume ratio enhances fluid-surface interactions.1 An important class of phenomena involve “transverse” hydrodynamic couplings in anisotropic channels, where an applied pressure gradient or shear rate in one direction generates flow in a different direction, with a nonzero perpendicular component. Transverse hydrodynamic couplings in pressure-driven flow through a textured microchannel were analyzed theoretically2–5 and applied to passive chaotic mixing in a herringbone grooved channel.2, 6 Such microfluidic devices have also recently been used to separate or concentrate suspended particles.7

Here, we deal with a special (and different) type of surface textures, namely, a superhydrophobic (SH) surface in the Cassie state, where air micro- and nano-bubbles are favored, and can generate a number of amazing properties,8 including a very large liquid slippage.9, 10 Such a slip also occurs at smooth hydrophobic surfaces,11, 12 but with a relatively low amplitude, characterized by a slip length (extrapolated distance on which the liquid velocity vanishes) of the orders of tens of nm or smaller.13–16 Due to a local slip length of tens of μm over gas regions the dramatic enhancement of slip at such a superhydrophobic surface could be achieved17–20, and may dramatically reduce viscous drag in a channel.

Directional SH textures generate the anisotropy of effective slip, which becomes a tensor, \( b_{\text{eff}} \), represented by a symmetric, positive definite 2 × 2 matrix

\[
b_{\text{eff}} = S_{\Theta} \begin{pmatrix} b_{\text{eff}}^1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{\text{eff}}^\perp \end{pmatrix} S_{-\Theta}, \tag{1}
\]

diagonalized by a rotation with angle \( \Theta \)

\[
S_{\Theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Theta & \sin \Theta \\ -\sin \Theta & \cos \Theta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}
\]

The tensor formalism allows us to easily change the orientation of a texture, once a problem has been solved for a given geometry. For all anisotropic surfaces its eigenvalues \( b_{\text{eff}}^1 \) and \( b_{\text{eff}}^\perp \) correspond to the fastest (greatest forward slip) and slowest (least forward slip) orthogonal directions.5 Note that the concept of an effective slip length tensor is applied for an arbitrary channel thickness,21 being a global characteristic of a channel. A corollary of this is that the eigenvalues depend not only on the parameters of the heterogeneous surfaces (such as local slip lengths, fractions of phases, and a texture period), but also on the channel thickness. However, for a thick (compared to a texture correlation length) channel they become a characteristics of a heterogeneous interface solely.5 In the general case of any direction \( \Theta \), the anisotropy of effective slip means that the flow past such surfaces become misaligned with the driving force. Therefore, anisotropic SH textures can potentially be used to generate transverse hydrodynamic flow, which is of obvious fundamental and practical interest. However, most of the prior theoretical work has focused on the maximization of the effective slip, and general principles to optimize transverse phenomena have received much less attention and have not yet been established.

Computer simulations might be expected to shed some lights on a flow past anisotropic surfaces. Earlier molecular dynamics (MD) simulations observed how modulations of hydrophobicity induce (small) variations in local slip at channels of finite thickness, but did not attempt to relate these to effective boundary conditions.22, 23 In efforts to better understand the connection between the parameters of the texture and the effective slip lengths, several other groups have performed MD simulations of the flow past striped anisotropic surfaces. Most of these studies considered a thick channel geometry, and focused only on calculating eigenvalues of the effective slip-length tensor.24, 25 There are however two simulations which are directly relevant. Recent work26 studied the dependence of a ratio of transverse and forward effective slip velocities on the flow orientation in a thick channel, but...
it did not explore the situation of a finite channel thickness. It has been found that the theoretical predictions based on a concept of tensorial slip consistently overestimated the results of MD simulations, which has been attributed to the fact that the stripe width was comparable to the molecular size. Other recent simulations21 used the lattice-Boltzmann (LB) approach to calculate a flow in an asymmetric channel (i.e., with one SH and one no-slip hydrophilic wall) of arbitrary thickness. This study confirmed that the eigenvalues of the effective slip-length tensor depend on the gap and validated the concept of tensorial slip. An excellent agreement of LB results with a continuous theory gave a strong support to macroscopic arguments. However, no attempts have been made to simulate the deflection of flow from the main direction, which for an asymmetric SH channel was not expected to be very dramatic.10

The goal of the present paper, is to provide some general theoretical results to guide the optimization of transverse hydrodynamic phenomena in a symmetric channel with two aligned striped SH walls. In the case of thin channels, symmetric striped walls provide rigorous upper and lower bounds on the effective slip over all possible two-phase patterns27 and are expected to generate very strong transverse flow,28 which could lead to very efficient mixing upon spatial modulation of the texture. Here, we consider a channel of arbitrary thickness, and optimize its orientation to maximize pressure-driven transverse flow. Our consideration is based on theoretical analysis and dissipative particle dynamics (DPD) simulations. By using relatively wide stripes as compared with a recent MD study26 we find that our results are in a very good quantitative agreement with the macroscopic theory.

II. MODEL AND GENERAL CONSIDERATION

We consider a channel consisting of two equal symmetric SH walls located at \( y = 0 \) and \( y = H \) and unbounded in the \( x \) and \( z \) directions as sketched in Fig. 1. The origin of the coordinates \( O \) is placed in the plane of a liquid-gas interface at the center of the gas sector. The \( x \) axis is defined along the pressure gradient. As in previous publications,9, 25, 26, 29, 30 we model SH plates as flat interfaces with no meniscus curvature, so that they appear as being perfectly smooth with a pattern of boundary conditions. The latter are taken as no slip \((b_1 = 0)\) over solid/liquid areas and as partial slip \((b_2 = b)\) over gas/liquid regions. In this idealization, by assuming a flat interface, we neglect an additional mechanism for a dissipation connected with the meniscus curvature.31, 32 The fraction of the solid/liquid areas is denoted as \( \phi_1 \), and that of the gas/liquid areas as \( \phi_2 = 1 - \phi_1 \).

The flow is governed by the Stokes equations

\[
\eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = \nabla p, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \mathbf{u} \) is the velocity vector, and the average pressure gradient is always aligned with the \( x \) axis direction,

\[
\langle \nabla p \rangle = (-\sigma, 0, 0). \tag{4}
\]

The local slip boundary conditions at the walls have the form

\[
\mathbf{v}(x, 0, z) = b(x, z) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial y}(x, 0, z), \quad \hat{y} \cdot \mathbf{v}(x, 0, z) = 0. \tag{5}
\]

In addition, a symmetry condition applies at the mid channel,

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial y}(x, H/2, z) = 0, \quad \hat{y} \cdot \mathbf{v}(x, H/2, z) = 0. \tag{6}
\]

Here, the local slip length \( b(x, z) \) at the SH surface is generally a function of both lateral coordinates.

The effective slip length \( b_{\text{eff}} \) at the SH surface is defined as usual,

\[
b_{\text{eff}} = \langle v_z \rangle / \langle \partial v_y / \partial y \rangle, \tag{7}
\]

where \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes the average value in the plane \( xOz \). It can equivalently be defined via a permeability tensor

\[
k = \frac{H^3}{12} \left( I + \frac{b_{\text{eff}}}{H} \right). \tag{8}
\]

In the linear response regime, the averaged flow rate, \( \langle \mathbf{Q} \rangle \), is proportional to \( \langle \nabla p \rangle \) via the permeability tensor, \( k \),

\[
\langle \mathbf{Q} \rangle = -\frac{1}{\eta} k \cdot \langle \nabla p \rangle, \tag{9}
\]

which may be rewritten as

\[
\langle Q_x \rangle = \frac{\sigma}{\eta} (k^\perp \cos^2 \Theta + k^\parallel \sin^2 \Theta), \tag{10}
\]

\[
\langle Q_z \rangle = \frac{\sigma}{\eta} (k^\parallel - k^\perp) \sin \Theta \cos \Theta. \tag{11}
\]
Integrating the velocity profile across the channel we obtain
\[ \langle \mathbf{Q} \rangle = \int_0^H \langle \mathbf{v}(y) \rangle \, dy, \quad (12) \]

or
\[ \langle Q_x \rangle = \int_0^H \langle v_x(y) \rangle \, dy, \quad (13) \]

\[ \langle Q_z \rangle = \int_0^H \langle v_z(y) \rangle \, dy. \quad (14) \]

The above formulae are valid regardless of the thickness of the symmetric SH channel and are independent of the details of the textured surface. There could be arbitrary patterns of local slip lengths, and the latter could itself be a spatially varying tensor.

### III. THEORY FOR STRIPED PATTERNS

To illustrate the general model, in this section we focus on flat patterned SH surfaces consisting of aligned periodic stripes, where the local (scalar) slip length \( b \) varies only in one direction. We mostly follow the approach developed before, but apply the method to a symmetric channel situation as sketched in Fig. 1, where the surfaces are covered with arrays of gas/liquid stripes with width \( \delta \) and period \( L \). Thus, \( \phi_1 = 1 - \delta/L \) and \( \phi_2 = \delta/L \), respectively.

For transverse stripes, the flow is two-dimensional \( \mathbf{v} = (v_x(x, y), v_z(x, y), 0) \), \( v_x(x, 0) = b(x) \partial_x v_z(x, 0) \), and \( v_z(x, 0) = 0 \). For longitudinal stripes, we also have a plane flow, \( \mathbf{v} = (v_y(y, z), 0, 0) \) \( |\leq \delta/L \). As the problem is linear in \( \mathbf{v} \), we seek for a solution of the form
\[
\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(0)} + \mathbf{v}^{(1)},
\]

where \( \mathbf{v}^{(0)} \) is the velocity of the usual no-slip parabolic Poiseuille flow
\[ \mathbf{v}^{(0)} = \left( \frac{\sigma}{2\eta} H - y, 0, 0 \right), \quad (16) \]

and \( \mathbf{v}^{(1)} \) is the SH slip-driven superimposed flow.

### A. Longitudinal stripes

In this situation the problem is homogeneous in \( x \) direction \( \partial \mathbf{v} / \partial x = 0 \). The slip length \( b(x, z) = b(z) \) is periodic in \( z \) with period \( L \). The elementary cell is determined as \( b(z) = b \) at \( |z| \leq \delta/2 \), and \( b(z) = 0 \) at \( \delta/2 < |z| \leq L/2 \). In this case, the velocity \( \mathbf{v}^{(1)} = (v_x^{(1)}, 0, 0) \) has only one nonzero component, which can be determined by solving the Laplace equation
\[ \nabla^2 v_x^{(1)}(y, z) = 0, \quad (17) \]

with conditions (5)–(6).

The Fourier expansion of a periodic solution satisfying (6) reads
\[ v_x^{(1)}(y, z) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(\lambda_n z) e^{-\lambda_n y}[1 + e^{\lambda_n (2y - H)}], \quad (18) \]

with \( \lambda_n = 2\pi n/L \). The sine terms vanish due to symmetry. Applying (5) we then obtain a trigonometric dual series,
\[ \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n [1 + b \lambda_n \tanh(\lambda_n H/2)] \cos(\lambda_n z) = b, \quad |z| \leq \delta/2, \quad (19) \]

\[ \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(\lambda_n z) = 0, \quad \delta/2 < |z| \leq L/2, \quad (20) \]

where
\[ a_0 = \frac{2\eta a_0}{\sigma H}, \quad a_n = \frac{2\eta a_n (1 + e^{-bH})}{\sigma H}, \quad n \geq 1. \]

The dual series (19) and (20) provide a complete description of the hydrodynamic flow and the effective slip length \( b_{\text{eff}}^1 = a_0/2 \) (due to Eq. (12)) in the longitudinal direction, given all the stated assumptions. These equations can be solved numerically, but exact results can be obtained in the limits of thin and thick channels.

For a thin channel, \( H \ll L \), we can use that \( \tanh(t, 0) = O(t) \). By substituting this expression into (19) and keeping only values of the first non-vanishing order, we find
\[ b_{\text{eff}}^1 \approx 1 \int_0^{\delta/2} b dz = \phi_2 b. \quad (21) \]

This is an exact solution, representing a rigorous upper Wiener bound on the effective slip over all possible two-phase patterns in a thin channel.

In the limit of a thick channel, \( H \gg L \), we can use that \( \tanh(t, \infty) \to 1 \) and the dual series (19) and (20) can be solved exactly, giving
\[ b_{\text{eff}}^1 \approx \frac{L}{\pi} \ln \left[ \frac{\sec (\pi \phi_2)}{2} \right], \quad (22) \]

### B. Transverse stripes

To describe a two-dimensional flow in this case we use a standard technique and introduce a stream function \( \psi(x, y) \) and the vorticity vector \( \omega(x, y) \). Thus, the velocity field is represented by \( \mathbf{v}(x, y) = (\partial \psi / \partial y, -\partial \psi / \partial x, 0) \), and the vorticity vector, \( \omega(x, y) = \nabla \times \mathbf{v} = (0, 0, \omega) \), has only one nonzero component, which is equal to
\[ \omega = -\nabla^2 \psi. \quad (23) \]

The solution can then be presented as the sum of the base flow with homogeneous no-slip condition and its perturbation caused by the presence of stripes as
\[ \psi = -\frac{\sigma y^3}{6 \eta} + \frac{\sigma H y^2}{4 \eta} + \psi_1, \quad (24) \]

\[ \omega = \frac{\sigma y}{2 \eta} - \frac{\sigma H}{2 \eta} + \omega_1. \quad (25) \]
The problem for $\psi_1$ and $\omega_1$ reads
\[
\nabla^2 \psi_1 = -\omega_1, \quad \nabla^2 \omega_1 = 0, \tag{26}
\]
which can be solved with respect to the boundary conditions (5) and (6) and an additional condition that reflects our definition of the stream function,
\[
\psi_1(x, 0) = 0. \tag{27}
\]
The general solution reads
\[
\psi_1(x, y) = P_0 y + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( P_n^{(1)} - \frac{M_n^{(1)}}{2} \right) e^{\lambda_n y} \cos \lambda_n x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^{(2)} + \frac{M_n^{(2)}}{2} \right) e^{-\lambda_n y} \cos \lambda_n x, \tag{28}
\]
\[
\omega_1(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( M_n^{(1)} e^{\lambda_n y} \cos \lambda_n x + M_n^{(2)} e^{-\lambda_n y} \cos \lambda_n x \right). \tag{29}
\]
The following relations between Fourier coefficients may be established:
\[
P_n^{(1)} = -P_n^{(2)} = -P_n, \]
\[
M_n^{(1)} = -\frac{4 \lambda_n}{H} \sinh(\lambda_n H/2) e^{-\lambda_n H/2} P_n, \]
\[
M_n^{(2)} = \frac{4 \lambda_n}{H} \sinh(\lambda_n H/2) e^{\lambda_n H/2} P_n. \]
Again we obtain a dual series problem, which is similar to (19) and (20),
\[
\frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cos(\lambda_n x) = b, \quad 0 < x \leq \delta/2, \tag{30}
\]
\[
\frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cos(\lambda_n x) = 0, \quad \delta/2 < x \leq L/2. \tag{31}
\]
Here,
\[
\frac{\alpha_0}{2} = b_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2n}{\sigma H} P_0, \tag{32}
\]
\[
\alpha_n = \frac{2n}{\sigma H} \frac{\sinh(\lambda_n H)}{\lambda_n} P_n, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{33}
\]
and
\[
W(t) = \frac{\cosh(t) - 1}{\sinh(t) - t}. \tag{34}
\]
In the limit of a thin channel one has $W(t)|_{t \to 0} \approx 3t^{-1} + O(t)$, from which it follows that
\[
b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp}|_{H \ll L} = \frac{bH \phi_2}{H + b\phi_1}. \tag{35}
\]
For completeness we discuss again the two limiting situations,
\[
b_{\text{eff}}^{\parallel}|_{H \ll bL, L \ll b} \approx \frac{\phi_2}{6 \phi_1} H \propto H, \tag{36}
\]
\[
b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp}|_{H \ll L} \propto b \phi_2 \propto b. \tag{37}
\]
In the thick channel limit, the dual series (30) and (31) take the same form as in prior work (due to $W(t \to \infty) \to 1$), whence we derive
\[
b_{\text{eff}}^{\parallel}|_{H \gg L} \approx \frac{L}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\sec \left( \frac{\pi \phi_2}{2} \right)}{\sec \left( \frac{\pi \phi_2}{2} \right) + \tan \left( \frac{\pi \phi_2}{2} \right)}. \tag{38}
\]

C. Transverse flow

The tensorial nature of the effective slip is physically due to secondary flows transverse to the direction of the applied pressure gradient. Now we focus on transverse flow optimization, which is necessary for a passive mixing in a symmetric SH channel. Our aim is to optimize the texture, channel thickness, and the angle $\Theta$ between the directions of stripes and the pressure gradient, so that $|(Q_1)/(Q_2)|$ is as large as possible.

Following the approach, one can derive
\[
F \equiv \frac{\langle Q_2 \rangle}{\langle Q_1 \rangle} = \frac{6(b_{\text{eff}}^{\|} - b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp}) \sin \Theta \cos \Theta}{H + 6b_{\text{eff}}^{\|} \cos^2 \Theta + 6b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp} \sin^2 \Theta}. \tag{39}
\]
Consider now the tilt angle, $\Theta$, confined between 0 and $\pi/2$ and rewrite Eq. (39) as
\[
F \equiv \frac{\langle Q_2 \rangle}{\langle Q_1 \rangle} = \frac{6(\xi^2 - 1)\tau}{(\xi^2 + 6\xi^2)(\xi^2 + 6)^2}, \tag{40}
\]
where $\tau = \tan \Theta$, $\xi = b_{\text{eff}}^{\parallel}/b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp}$, and $H = b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp}$. By evaluating $\partial F/\partial \tau = 0$, we find that the maximum occurs at
\[
\tau_* \equiv \tan \Theta_* = \left( \frac{h^2 + 6\xi^2}{h^2 + 6} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{41}
\]
and its value is
\[
F(\tau_*) = \frac{3(\xi^2 - 1)}{(h^2 + 6\xi^2)(h^2 + 6)^{3/2}}. \tag{42}
\]
In the limit of a thick channel, $H \gg L, h \to \infty$ (owing to the fact that $b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp}$ is limited by the local slip length $b$ and independent of $H$ for that case), and Eq. (41) gives
\[
\tan \Theta_*|_{H \gg L} \approx 1, \quad \Theta_*|_{H \gg L} \approx \pi/4, \tag{43}
\]
and, correspondingly,
\[
F(\tau_*)|_{H \gg L} \approx \frac{3(\xi^2 - 1)}{h^2} = O(L/H). \tag{44}
\]
Therefore, the mixing in a thick symmetric SH channel would be not very efficient. According to our formula, to maximize $|(Q_2)/(Q_1)|$ an efficient strategy would be to use striped textures with largest physically possible $b$ and a very low fraction of a solid phase, $\phi_2 \to 1$. 

When the channel is thin, $H \ll L$, the interplay between $b$ and $H$ gives two possibilities. First, if $b \ll H \ll L$, the slip length is isotropic, $b_{\text{eff}} = b_{\text{eff}}(1 - 6\phi b/H) \approx b_{\text{eff}}$, and $H^2 = H(b_{\text{eff}}) \gg 1$, $\xi \approx 1$. Therefore, again $\Theta_s \approx \pi/4$, yet the value

$$F(\tau_s) \approx \frac{18\phi b^2}{H^2} = O\left(\frac{b^2}{H^2}\right)$$

is negligible. Then, for $H \ll \min\{b, L\}$ we get

$$h^2 \approx 6\phi b/\phi_1 = \text{const},$$

$$\xi^2 = 6\phi b/H \gg 1.$$  

Substitution of these expressions into Eqs. (41) and (42) gives

$$\tan \Theta_s|_{H \ll \min\{b, L\}} \approx \left(\frac{6\phi b}{H}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$F(\tau_s)|_{H \ll \min\{b, L\}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{6\phi b}{H}\right)^{1/2} \gg 1.$$  

Since $\phi_1\phi_2 = (1 - \phi_2)\phi_2$ is maximal for $\phi_2 = \phi_1 = 0.5$, the direction of optimal inflow angle is

$$\Theta_s|_{H \ll \min\{b, L\}} \approx \frac{\pi}{2} - \left(\frac{2H}{3b}\right)^{1/2},$$

which coincides with the results of Ref. 28 derived by using a different method.

**IV. SIMULATION METHOD**

Our simulations are done using DPD, an established method for mesoscale fluid simulations, which is fully off-lattice and particle based and naturally includes thermal fluctuations. More specifically, we use a DPD version without conservative interactions, and combine that with a tunable-slip method that allows one to implement arbitrary hydrodynamic boundary conditions. The detailed implementation of the tunable-slip method can be found in Ref. 37. In the following, we only give a brief description and introduce the simulation parameters.

In the tunable-slip boundary approach, the interaction between the channel walls and the fluid particles has two contributions. The first is a Weeks-Chandler-Andersen (WCA) interaction to mimic the impermeable surfaces,

$$u(y) = \begin{cases} 4\epsilon\left(\frac{\sigma}{y}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{y}\right)^{6} + \frac{1}{4}, & y < 2\sqrt{6}\sigma \\ 0, & y \geq 2\sqrt{6}\sigma \end{cases},$$

where $y$ is the distance between the fluid particle and the wall. This is just a Lennard-Jones interaction with a cutoff at the potential minimum, corresponding to the pure repulsive part of the potential. In the following, the WCA parameters will set our simulation units, i.e., the energy unit $\epsilon$ and the length unit $\sigma$. The third unit is the particle mass $m$. The second part of the wall-fluid interaction is a coarse-grained friction force, which is introduced in a similar spirit than the DPD approach. Specifically, the effect of the wall friction on $i$th particle is implemented by introducing a pair of Langevin-type forces,

$$F_{\text{wall}} = F_i^D + F_i^R.$$  

The dissipative contribution has the form

$$F_i^D = -\gamma_L\omega_L(z)(\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_{\text{wall}}).$$

This force is proportional to the relative fluid velocity with respect of the wall, and the proportionality factor is the local viscosity $\gamma_L\omega_L(z)$. The position-dependent function $\omega_L(z)$ is a monotonically decreasing function of the wall-particle separation, and vanishes when the fluid particle is further away from the wall than a cutoff distance $z_c$. In our simulations, we take $\omega_L(z)$ to decrease linearly from 1 to 0. The prefactor $\gamma_L$ characterizes the strength of the wall friction and can be used to tune the value of the slip length. A random force obeying the fluctuation-dissipation theorem is required to ensure the correct equilibrium statistics,

$$F_i^R = \xi_i\sqrt{2k_BT\gamma_L\omega_L(z)},$$

where each component of $\xi_i$ is a Gaussian distributed random variable with zero mean and unit variance.

The simulations are carried out using the open source simulation package ESPResSo. All simulations are performed with a time step $\Delta t = 0.01$ and the temperature of the system is set at $k_BT = 1\epsilon$. The fluid has a density $\rho = 3.75\sigma^{-3}$. Fluid particles have no conservative interactions, they interact only with the dissipative part of the DPD interactions. The DPD interaction parameter is chosen at $\gamma_{\text{DPD}} = 5.0/\sqrt{m\epsilon/\sigma}$ and the cutoff radius is 1.0$\sigma$, which results in a shear viscosity of $\eta_s = 1.35 \pm 0.01/\sqrt{m\epsilon/\sigma^2}$.

The slip length $b$ can be calculated analytically as a function of the simulation parameters (the wall friction parameter $\gamma_L$ and cutoff $r_c$) to a very good approximation. For the purpose of this work, however, we need highly accurate values for both $b$ and the position of the hydrodynamic boundary, therefore, we determined it with the method described in Ref. 37 by simulating plane Poiseuille flow and plane Couette flow. Figure 2 shows the relation between the slip length and the wall friction parameter $\gamma_L$, for a wall interaction cutoff $r_c = 2.0\sigma$. By setting the wall friction parameter $\gamma_L$, we can adjust the slip length to arbitrary values. The no-slip boundary condition is implemented with $\gamma_L = 5.26/\sqrt{m\epsilon/\sigma}$. The

![FIG. 2. The relation between the slip length $b$ and the wall friction parameter $\gamma_L$, for a wall interaction cutoff 2.0$\sigma$. The inset shows an enlarged portion of the region where the slip length is zero. The no-slip boundary condition can be implemented by using $\gamma_L = 5.26/\sqrt{m\epsilon/\sigma}$. Dashed curves show analytical predictions.](image-url)
position of the hydrodynamic boundary, was determined to be 1.06 ± 0.12σ away from the simulation wall.

For simulations of patterned surfaces, we use a box of 20σ × (H + 2σ) × 50σ. The two impermeable surfaces lie parallel to the xz plane and are separated by a distance H + 2σ. Periodic boundary conditions are assumed in the xz plane in the directions parallel and perpendicular to the stripes. We have tested that increasing the simulation box does not change the outcome. The surfaces are decorated by alternating no-slip and partial-slip stripes. The pattern has a periodicity of L = 50σ. The wall friction parameters are chosen γL = 5.26\sqrt{m/εσ}, which implements a no-slip boundary condition, and γt = 0.02820\sqrt{m/εσ}, corresponding to b = 50σ. A simulation system is completely specified by the following parameters: (L, b, φ2, H).

The simulation starts with randomly distributed DPD particles. An external body force is assigned to each particle to mimic the pressure gradient. The amplitude of the force is adjusted such that the maximum shear rate at the surface is γ = 0.01\sqrt{m/εσ}2. Small shear rates are necessary to avoid shear-rate dependencies of the slip length and reduce inertia effects. With these parameters, typical Reynolds numbers in our system are still of order 10, which is much larger than typical values in microfluidic setups. To reach realistic Reynolds numbers, one would have to reduce the body force by four orders of magnitude. Unfortunately, the necessary simulation time for gathering data with sufficiently good statistics would then increase prohibitively, since the values of the relevant observables become very small and the correlation times increase with 1/γ. Test runs with selective parameters were performed using a smaller body force, but the results did not change significantly. Therefore, we chose to work at these relatively high Reynolds numbers (we are still deeply in the laminar regime), and tolerate slight inertia effects, which we will discuss further below.

One important criterion for a correct simulation of the pressure-driven flow is a constant density profile. Figure 3(a) shows an example of the fluid density profile for ρ = 3.75σ−3. The channel has a thickness of H = 50σ. The periodicity of the striped pattern is L = 50σ, and the partial-slip part has a slip length of b = 50σ and area fraction φ2 = 0.5. The fluid density is uniform in the middle of the channel and drops to zero in the proximity of the wall due to the repulsive interaction of the wall on the fluid particles. This leads to a physical wall position which is ~1.0σ away from the simulation wall. The quoted value of channel thickness H is the separation between the physical walls. In contrast to earlier MD simulations, there are no molecular layering effects and density oscillations near the walls, because our DPD particles have no conservative interactions.

Figure 3(b) shows the averaged velocity profile for the same system. The system is allowed to run up to 5 × 10^5 time steps to reach a steady state, and the velocity profile is then averaged over long time intervals (up to 10^4 time steps) with horizontal bins of thickness Δy = 0.02σ. Close to the wall, the velocity is zero because no particles are present in that region. In the middle of the channel, the velocity profile exhibits a parabolic shape, such that we can fit the velocity to a plane Poiseuille flow and obtain an effective slip length. The fitting function we used is

\[ v(y) = \frac{\rho F^\text{ext}}{2η_s} (y^2 - y^2 + 2by_W). \]

where F^\text{ext} is the external force applied to each DPD particle to induce the pressure gradient. For the position of the hydrodynamic boundary y_W, we use the value obtained from simulations for homogeneous surfaces (1.06 ± 0.12σ from the simulation wall). We assume that the position of the hydrodynamic boundary remains the same for striped surfaces. The values of ⟨Q⟩_x and ⟨Q⟩_z are then computed with Eqs. (13) and (14).

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present the DPD simulation results and compare them with predictions from the continuous theory. Throughout the section, we use striped patterns with periodicity L = 50σ and slip length b = 50σ on the slippery areas. We study the effect of varying the film thickness H, the angle Θ between the applied force and the stripes, and the area fraction φ2 of slippery areas.

We start with varying Θ in a system where the stick and slip areas are equal, φ2 = 0.5, which corresponds to maximum
transverse flow in a thin channel situation. Figure 4 shows the results for the effective downstream slip lengths, $b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp}$, as obtained from Poiseuille fits (53) to the $x$ component of the velocity $v_x$, for two values of the channel thickness, $H = 50\sigma$ and $H = 10\sigma$. We emphasize that in both cases we formally have an intermediate channel situation, since $H/L = O(1)$. The error bars have been obtained from averaging over five independent runs. Since we model an infinitely extended slit by virtue of applying periodic boundary conditions in the $xz$ plane, the downstream slip length in our system, $b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp}$, corresponds to $b_{\text{eff}}^{xx}$. (In channels that are confined in the $y$ direction, a transverse pressure builds up that renormalizes $b_{\text{eff}}^{xx}$.5) Figure 4 also includes a theoretical curve calculated using Eq. (1), which can be explicitly written as

$$b_{\text{eff}}^{xx} = b_{\text{eff}}^{\parallel} \cos^2 \Theta + b_{\text{eff}}^{\perp} \sin^2 \Theta.$$  

Here, the eigenvalues of the slip-length tensor are obtained by numerical solution of the dual series, using the procedure described in Ref. 21. Also included in Fig. 4 (dashed curves) are the $xx$ components of the effective slip length tensor calculated in the limit of thick [Fig. 4(a)] and thin [Fig. 4(b)] channels. These indicate the range of $b_{\text{eff}}^{xx}$ in this (symmetric) channel geometry.

The simulation data are in a good agreement with theoretical predictions, confirming the anisotropy of the flow and the validity of the concept of a tensorial slip for arbitrary channel thickness.21 Most notably, the effective slip is larger for thinner channels, somewhat in contrast to previous findings for asymmetric channels, where the magnitude of the effective slip length in a thin gap was much smaller than that in a thick channels.21, 29, 30 This means that transverse hydrodynamic phenomena should be enhanced in thinner channels.

The development of transverse flow is illustrated in Fig. 5, where the data for the ratio $\langle Q \rangle^{\parallel}/\langle Q \rangle^{\perp}$ of the measured averaged longitudinal and transverse flow rates at different $\Theta$ are presented and compared with the theoretical prediction of Eq. (39). The simulation data and the theoretical prediction agree quite well. There are some discrepancies at intermediate angles $\Theta$, where the simulation data for $\langle Q \rangle^{\parallel}/\langle Q \rangle^{\perp}$ are smaller than predicted by theory. These slight deviations are most likely a result of the finite Reynolds numbers, which are of order $O(10)$ in the present simulations as discussed in
Sec. IV. When increasing the bulk force and hence the average flow velocities, the deviations increase, thus they will presumably vanish in the Stokes limit. A pressure gradient in average flow velocities, the deviations increase, thus they will very nicely.

To illustrate the effect of \( \phi_2 \) on the transverse phenomena, we now fix \( \Theta = \pi/4 \) and measure \( \langle Q \rangle_z / \langle Q \rangle_x \). The simulation data presented in Fig. 7 show that the maximum value of \( \langle Q \rangle_z / \langle Q \rangle_x \) is observed at \( \phi_2 \geq 0.5 \) and depends on the channel thickness. As discussed above, \( \phi_2 = 0.5 \) results in maximum transverse flow in a thin channel (thin compared to the period of the texture).\( ^{28} \) For thick channels, the continuum theory predicts maximal transverse flow at \( \phi_2 \rightarrow 1 \). The simulation data, obtained for two values of \( H \), confirms these trends. Figure 7 also illustrates that transverse phenomena are more efficient in a thinner channel. The data sets in Fig. 7 are in quantitative agreement with predictions of the continuum theory. Only for the thick channels and \( \phi_2 \geq 0.5 \) we observe slight deviations, which again presumably reflect inertia effects.

The simulation and theoretical data presented in Figs. 4 and 6 show that the eigenvalues of the slip-length tensor depend on the channel thickness \( H \), in agreement with earlier predictions.\( ^{21} \) To examine this dependence in more detail, we

FIG. 6. The eigenvalues of the effective slip length tensor (symbols) as a function of \( \phi_2 \). Solid curves are theoretical values obtained by a numerical solution of Eqs. (19), (20) and (30), (31). Calculations were made for a pattern with \( L = b = 50\sigma \), (a) \( H = 50\sigma \). Dashed curves are calculated with Eqs. (22) and (38), (b) \( H = 10\sigma \). Dashed curves are computed with Eqs. (21) and (35).

FIG. 7. The ratio \( \langle Q \rangle_z / \langle Q \rangle_x \) as a function of \( \phi_2 \) obtained with Eq. (39) for \( \Theta = \pi/4 \) by using the data sets from Fig. 6 (a) \( H = 50\sigma \) and (b) \( H = 10\sigma \). Symbols are simulation data, solid curves represent theoretical values, and dashed curves show asymptotic predictions in the limit of thick channels (a) and thin channels (b).
now fix $\phi_2 = 0.5$ and vary $H$ in a large range. The smallest gap was taken to be $H = 5 \sigma$, for smaller gaps the velocity profile can no longer be resolved satisfactorily. The largest thickness was chosen to be equal to $L = 50 \sigma$. The eigenvalues of the slip-length tensor are shown in Fig. 8. Also included are continuum theoretical calculations (solid curves) and asymptotic values (dashed curves) expected in the true limits of thin and thick channels. The data show that the longitudinal effective slip increases with $H$. In contrast, the transverse effective slip decreases with $H$, so that the difference between two eigenvalues is largest for thin channels. We remark and stress that the effective slip reaches the asymptotic values predicted for a thick channel already at the effective slip length $b_{eff}$ is of the order of the texture period. In practice, this implies that huge simulations with large simulation boxes are not necessary to determine the effective hydrodynamic behavior in such systems. We note, however, that even for the smallest gaps considered here ($H/L = 0.1$), our theoretical and simulation results still deviate strongly from the upper Wiener bound, Eq. (21), although they are very close to the lower Wiener bound, Eq. (35).

Figure 9 shows the corresponding simulation and theoretical data for $\langle Q_z \rangle / \langle Q_x \rangle$, as a function of channel thickness $H$. The stripe texture is the same as in Fig. 8, i.e., $\phi_2 = 0.5$, and the tilt angle was chosen $\Theta = \pi/4$. As discussed above, this angle leads to a maximal transverse flow in case of a thick channel, but not in the thin channel situation, where a much larger angle is required to optimize the transverse phenomena. Nevertheless, $\langle Q_z \rangle / \langle Q_x \rangle$ increases dramatically, when the channel becomes thinner. With our range of parameters, however, we are still well below the limiting value of $\langle Q_z \rangle / \langle Q_x \rangle$, which would be expected in case Wiener bounds were attained.

Finally in this section, we show the measured velocity profile in a channel with stripes inclined at the angle $\Theta = \pi/4$ with respect to the applied force (Fig. 10). The velocity profile results from superimposed flows in the $x$ (forward) and the $z$ (transverse) directions (also shown). As above we use $H = 10 \sigma$ [Fig. 10(a)] and $H = 50 \sigma$ [Fig. 10(b)]. The data show that the effective velocity direction is generally misaligned with the force vector, and this effect is much more pronounced for a thin channel due to a larger transverse component of the velocity. The flow has the form of a superimposed no-slip parabolic Poiseuille flow and a slip-driven plug flow everywhere in the thin channel. In case of a thick channel the flow near the surface is different from that in the center of the channel. To examine this more closely, the short-distance region of the flow from Fig. 10(b) is reproduced in Fig. 10(c), and the central part is shown in Fig. 10(d). These blowups demonstrate that the strong transverse flow due to surface anisotropy is generated only in the vicinity of the wall and tends to disappear far from it, as predicted theoretically and observed in experiment. The effective velocity profile is “twisted” close to the striped wall.
VI. CONCLUSION

We have investigated pressure-driven flow in a flat-parallel channel with two aligned striped SH surfaces. For this geometry of configuration we propose a semi-analytical theory, which is valid for an arbitrary gap and any local slip length at the slipping areas of the stripes. Analytical results are presented for various important limits, and our approach gives simple analytical formula for an effective slip length in case of stripes that are inclined with respect to a pressure gradient. Furthermore, our theory gives analytical guidance as to how to choose the parameters of the texture and a tilt angle, in order to optimize the transverse flow in different situations. Our theoretical predictions have been compared with results of DPD simulations, which are in a good quantitative agreement with the macroscopic theory. Our results are directly relevant for passive mixing in thin channels and other microfluidic applications. In the future, we hope to augment our analysis to include the possibility of a surface charge patterns, which would help to understand transverse electro-osmotic phenomena. Another fruitful direction could be to consider identical, but misaligned striped walls, where the relative orientation of surfaces could generate additional mechanism of mixing.
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